# ASU Faculty Senate Meeting Agenda January 17, 2024, 3:00 PM HHS 104

Drew Curtis	х	Anthony Bartl	х	Kenna Archer	Х	Trey Holik	х
President		Vice President		Secretary		Parliamentarian	
PSY		PSCI/PHL		HIST		PHY/GEO	
Azize Akcayoglu		Erica Bailey	х	Jacob Burtch	х	Rusty Calk	х
ENG		сомм		PT		AEF	
Leah Carruth	х	Yo-Rong Chen	х	Brook Dickison	х	Allison Dushane	х
TED		HSP		C&I		ENG/LANG	
John Kellermeier	х	Melissa McDowell	х	Christine Muelsch	х	Adam Parker	Х
AGRI		NURS		ENG/LANG		KIN	
Saravanan Ramasamy		Gayle Randall	х	Christopher Shar		Andrew Siefker	х
СНЕМ		M&M		sw		МАТН	
Ben Skipper		Tammy Stafford	х	Grant Wilde		Jun Wu	х
BIO		NURS(CLIN)		cs		SEC STUD	
Leila Yarian	х						
VPA							

Call to order: 3:00

### I. Invited Guests

- A. General Hawkins, President Present
- B. Dr. Don Topliff, Provost and VP for Academic Affairs Present
- C. Dr. Sandra Mohr & FAST Team Present
- D. Kam Weise, President of Student Senate ABSENT

# II. Announcements and Questions

- A. University President
  - a. <u>Ongoing discussions about student travel</u> (i.e. how faculty reimburse students): we're working on it with Drew Curtis and the ad hoc committee
  - b. We have to <u>improve the OPs</u> in a more timely manner: some changes need to be worked on deliberately but not all of them, some OP changes can be fast tracked
  - c. Several states (ex: Washington, California, Arizona) are discussing if they should make <u>student athletes employees of the university</u> (especially at D1 schools)
  - d. This semester, we've hit the <u>highest registration numbers</u> in ASU history (10,135)

- e. Plans for the **innovation and research hub** are moving along
- B. Provost and VP for Academic Affairs
  - a. <u>Fall to spring retention</u> of new, first-time freshmen has increased: this semester the retention rate is at 87.6% compared to 86% for last fall-to-spring
  - b. 7 provost scholars for the fall that's a good start to increase research productivity
  - c. We have to address faculty evaluations by peer review committees
    - i. We've had multiple situations where things that were done in the peer review committee over several years led to problems at year five
    - ii. We have to avoid litigation so faculty need honest reviews
    - iii. If faculty don't want to do that, we need to alter the OP
  - d. This led to an extensive discussion of peer review, some of which is excerpted here
    - i. Should members of peer review committees be able to abstain?
      - 1. Don Topliff: abstaining from the peer review vote is not in the OP
      - 2. Maybe we should be explicit that a vote of abstention isn't allowed
    - ii. If we make the Tenure and Promotion process more transparent, couldn't that lead to retaliation?
      - Don Topliff: you don't have to sign your name on your no vote, you only have to justify your vote
    - iii. Are issues with peer review limited to one department or systematic?
      - 1. Don Topliff: it's an issue across the university
      - 2. Part of the issue may be the criteria
    - iv. Take home: talk among department about criteria
  - e. Question: will **Christena Parks** be in her office anytime soon?
    - i. Don Topliff: we have hired somebody in international studies
  - f. Question: Chairs will now review all syllabi?
    - i. Don Topliff: Chairs went through training last week with the OSCQR system
    - ii. There's a checklist Chairs can use that should ease the workload
    - iii. Question: we recently completed evaluation for SACS, were there findings on this? Is there a coordinating board report saying we're deficient?
    - iv. Sandra Mohr: we didn't have any findings, but we have to submit a report for **THECB** this next year, there are a lot of things we need to do
- C. Dean of Digital Learning and Instruction
  - a. This is the FAST (faculty support team)
  - b. Aubrey Madler
    - i. We're prepared to accept requests for print or electronic reserves
    - ii. Remember that we house the institutional repository and can help with **OER**
    - iii. We'll soon be hosting a workshop on copyright and fair-use
    - iv. We're looking to expand our library workshops on informational literacy
    - v. We're working on getting another instructional librarian
  - c. Katie Plum
    - i. Just a reminder we're here to help with internal and external grants
    - ii. We don't just do research, we're also here for initiatives to support teaching
    - iii. We're going to have some workshops this semester one on <u>finding grant</u> funding and higher level search techniques, a second on grant budgeting
    - iv. Final item: FREP grants are due this Friday
  - d. Sandra Mohr
    - i. You should have gotten an email about the **book club**
    - ii. On January 24: there will be a training from 1:00 3:00 on the OSCQR rubric

- iii. We have angelo.edu/online that took over for the distance ed webpage
- iv. x2911 is more like an emergency system while CDLI is like planned support
- e. Dara Anderson
  - i. If you've gone to the <u>e-learning webpage</u>, you'll notice the direct phone number is not on the pages anymore ... you'll see x2911
  - ii. In May 2024, we're going to move to the **next iteration of Blackboard**
  - iii. The new design is going to look more like the Blackboard app on the desktop, it will be somewhat more social media-friendly
  - iv. The next iteration <u>Blackboard Ultra</u> will be multi-device ready even more so (no timeline for implementation of Ultra)
- f. Question: is there research indicating that OSCQR does anything better than Quality Matters or is it just a preference? Was there faculty involvement in the selection?
  - i. Sandra Mohr: the committee selected OSCQR based on ease of use
  - ii. Dara Anderson: there is research behind it
- g. Question: is this an issue across the university or is this more isolated?
  - i. Sandra Mohr: the issue is more common than you think

# III. Minutes from Previous Meeting

Motion to accept: Brook Dickison

Second: Tammy Stafford Passed unanimously

### IV. Standing Committee Reports:

- A. Academic Affairs
  - a. Drew emailed out information about a **potential fall break**
- B. Bylaws and Standing Rules nothing to report
- C. University Affairs
  - a. **OP 06.23** is being finalized should have a final draft before the February meeting
  - b. We're preparing to look over **OP 06.29**
  - c. For faculty awards, Chris is going to speak with a subcommittee about the forms
- D. Committee on Committees nothing to report

#### V. Unfinished Business

- A. OP 06.02
  - a. Drew Curtis: the only thing we changed: we added a single word (capstone)
  - b. Any discussion: none
  - c. Tony moves to approve, Christine seconds, unanimously in favor
  - d. **OP 06.02 edits** are approved
- B. Fellowships, Grants, & Research (Ad-Hoc Committee)
  - a. Drew Curtis: the President mentioned we're moving ahead
  - b. Various committees will meet soon
- C. Faculty Research/Creative Endeavors & Student Travel (Ad-Hoc Committee)
  - a. Drew Curtis: I've sent an email to Angie Wright
  - b. Hopefully, we'll meet soon about our concerns
- D. Library Committee (Ad-Hoc Committee)
  - a. Nothing to report except that the library is taking requests for new reserves
  - b. The Committee is meeting next week
- E. Fall Break

- a. Concern: students might skip the week of thanksgiving, and it shortens summer
- b. Concern: TTUHSC and TTU have separate schedules
- c. Concern: disruption for 8 week classes

# VI. New Business

A. Faculty Excellence Awards – already covered

# VII. Roundtable

- A. Kenna Archer: we have a <u>lecture on February 6</u> at 3:30 pm in the Nasworthy Suite Laurie Dickmeyer will be talking about China and the global tea trade
- B. Allison Dushane: February 15 is the <u>Holland Symposium</u> the guest speaker, Renee Hobbs, will be talking about media literacy and AI, February 29 is the <u>writers conference</u> –Manuel Muñoz will be the featured writer

### VIII. Adjournment: 5:19