LANGUAGE, PHILOSOPHY, AND CULTURE STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOME ALIGNMENT FORM

Course Prefix/Number: HIST 2312 Course Title: History of Western Civilization after 1660

Brief Course Description: Western Civilization II (3-0). Western civilization from 1660 to the present, with emphasis on the background of present-day political, economic, and social issues, and the human condition across cultures.

Foundational Component Area: Language, Philosophy & Culture. Courses in this category focus on how ideas, values, beliefs, and other aspects of culture express and affect human experience. Courses involve the exploration of ideas that foster aesthetic and intellectual creation in order to understand the human condition across cultures.

Core Objective	University SLO	Course SLO	General Learning Activities	Assessment Method
Critical Thinking	CT: Gather, analyze, evaluate, and synthesize information relevant to a question or issue and construct a logical position (i.e. perspective, thesis, and/or hypothesis) that acknowledges ambiguities or contradictions.	Students will critically evaluate the social, political, economic, cultural, religious, and intellectual history that informs the human condition of Europe and the Mediterranean world from the 17th century to the modern era.	Learning activities will include written analyses, participation in classroom discussions of the required readings, quizzes, and essay examinations.	VALUE Rubric for Critical Thinking
Communication	CS: Develop, interpret, and express ideas through effective written, oral, and visual communication.	Students will be able to gain a basic understanding of European and Mediterranean history since the 17 th century and develop, interpret, and express their ideas through effective written, oral, and visual communication.	Learning activities will include written analyses, participation in classroom discussions of the required readings, quizzes, and essay examinations.	VALUE Rubric for Written and Oral Communication
Social Responsibility	SR: Demonstrate intercultural competence, knowledge of civic responsibility, and engagement in the campus, regional, national or global communities.	Through lectures, required readings, and classroom participation, students will demonstrate intercultural competence, knowledge of civic responsibility, and engagement in the campus, regional, national or global communities through an examination of the history of the human condition concerning European and Mediterranean peoples and the cultures they developed over the course of several centuries.	Learning activities will include written analyses, participation in classroom discussions of the required readings, quizzes, and essay examinations.	VALUE Rubric for Intercultural Knowledge and Competence and Civic Engagement – local and global
Personal Responsibility	PR: Demonstrate the ability to evaluate choices, actions and consequences as related to ethical decision-making.	By analyzing the effects of historical, social, political, economic, and cultural forces on this period of western history, students will demonstrate the ability to evaluate choices, actions and consequences as related to ethical decision-making in a	Learning activities will include written analyses, participation in classroom discussions of the required readings, quizzes, and essay examinations.	VALUE Rubric for Ethical Reasoning

	historical setting and its effect on the course of history.	

HIST 2312 – History of Western Civilization after 1660

Sample Syllabus

Student Learning Objectives

- **Critical Thinking:** Gather, analyze, evaluate, and synthesize information relevant to a question or issue and construct a logical position (i.e. perspective, thesis, and/or hypothesis) that acknowledges ambiguities or contradictions.
- **Communication:** Develop, interpret, and express ideas through effective written, oral, and visual communication.
- Social Responsibility: Demonstrate intercultural competence, knowledge of civic responsibility, and engagement in the campus, regional, national or global communities.
- Personal Responsibility: Demonstrate intercultural competence, knowledge of civic responsibility, and engagement in the campus, regional, national or global communities.

Course Learning Objectives

Essential

• Students will gain a basic understanding of the Western world (especially Europe), including factual knowledge, methods, principles, generalizations, and theories.

Students will be expected to pay attention in class, take good notes in class and while reading, and retain this information.

• Students will develop knowledge and understanding of diverse perspectives, global awareness, and other Western cultures

Students will be expected to begin to analyze historical events and processes.

- o Students will gain a broader understanding and appreciation of Western (especially European) intellectual and cultural activity, including (but not limited to) music, science, art, and literature.
- Students will develop skills in expressing themselves orally or in writing.
 Students will be expected to achieve a high degree of written fluency during the course of the semester.
- Students will gain an understanding of the human condition across cultures through an examination of original works of art, literature, essays, and texts.

Course Description

Western civilization from 1660 to the present, with emphasis on the background of present-day political, economic, and social issues, and the human condition across cultures.

Required Reading

Varies by instructor

Composition of Total Grade

10% Participation	Read below
40% Quizzes	February 3rd, February 24th, March 24th,
	April 21st
10% Research Paper Thesis, Plan and	February 27th
Bibliography	
20 % Research Paper	April 17th
20 % Final Exam	Take-home exam due by 12pm, May 8th

Grade Information

Grades and Definitions

A	Excellent	Exceptional work, excellent
(90%-100%)		analysis and fluent writing skills,
		excellent knowledge of facts
B+, B	Very good	Very good analysis but less fluid
(80%-89%)		writing skills etc., solid grasp of
		facts
C+, C	Good	Good analysis and standard writing
(70%-79%)		skills, solid knowledge of facts
D+, D	Satisfactory	Some issues with analysis and
(60%-69%)		writing, basic knowledge of facts
F	Not satisfactory	Issues with analysis and written
<60%		expression, lack of factual
		knowledge

Mid-term grades will be calculated based on the results of the first two quizzes and the paper prep assignment.

Course Requirements and Policies

In class

- Please be respectful. Be attentive to me and to your classmates during lectures and class discussions.
- Do not arrive late or leave early. Students are expected to be seated by the time class is scheduled to begin. Students who arrive late will be penalized 0.5% for each incident. Students who arrive over 15 minutes late will be marked as absent.
- <u>Switch off</u> your phones. If you are found using your phone during class for any reason, <u>you lose 1% from your participation grade for each incident</u>.
- o <u>Take notes.</u> Slides will be posted online after class but will not be detailed.
- Bathroom breaks are not permitted except in cases of illness.
- o I would advise you to bring your textbook(s) with you to class. If I post additional

material online, please print it out and bring it to class as well.

- Taking notes on a laptop computer or other electronic devices will only be permitted in certain circumstances. **Students must ask for permission before doing so.** Students must not distract other students or use them to access non-class related material.
- If you are unable to attend class, please contact me at sarah.lynch@angelo.edu as far in advance as possible.

Assignments

Participation (10%)

You must come to class. You will miss invaluable information and discussions if you miss class. You must get involved in these discussions. Demonstrating that you understand the concepts at hand and posing well-considered questions all count towards a good participation mark.

All students must visit my office hour <u>AT LEAST ONCE</u> during the course of the semester with prepared questions on the course material in order to receive a full participation grade. This will enable all students to speak to me on a one-on-one basis.

Penalties for unexcused absences

- < 4 absences during the semester no penalty
- > 4 absences during the semester you will lose 1% for each unexcused absence
- 9 or more absences during the semester you will not receive any participation grade and further disciplinary actions may be taken, up to and including being removed from the class

Quizzes (40%)

There will be four quizzes during the class, <u>February 3rd</u>, <u>February 24th</u>, <u>March 24th</u>, and <u>April 21st</u>. Each is worth 10% and will comprise of mainly multiple-choice questions with short-answer essays gradually introduced over the semester. They will be twenty minutes in duration. <u>There will be no make-up quizzes.</u>

Paper Prep- Research Paper Thesis, Plan, and Bibliography (10%)

In preparation for your Research Paper, you must complete this assignment and submit it at the beginning of class on <u>February 27th</u>. <u>You must also submit a copy to Turnitin via</u> <u>Blackboard</u>. You must write a half-page thesis or introduction on the paper topic that you have been given (see below), demonstrating how you are going to approach your topic and briefly discussing the key points that you are going to cover in the body of your paper. You must also construct a plan for the paper, very briefly outlining what you will cover in each paragraph of the paper (4-6 paragraphs, 1.5 spacing). Finally, you must research what books and articles you will use for your paper and assemble that information in a bibliography. You will be expected to follow the Chicago Rules of Style. The vast majority of websites are not acceptable references for a college-level research paper. <u>If you wish to use a website in your bibliography, you must clear it with me in advance</u>.

This assignment will be graded on clarity of writing and argument, the inclusion of appropriate points in your plan, and in the type and range of material in your bibliography.

A sample paper prep assignment will be posted to Blackboard.

Research Paper (20%)

Each student must submit a research paper at the beginning of class on <u>April 17th</u>. <u>You must</u> <u>also submit a copy to Turnitin via Blackboard</u>. This should be 4-6 pages in length (1.5 spacing only), with <u>no less than 4 full pages of writing</u>. Each student will be assigned one of the following topics. The Chicago Rules of Style must be followed.

Please note: I do not read or comment upon rough drafts.

- What effects did the Reformation have on the politics and/or society of Early Modern Europe?
- Discuss the role that the Ottoman Empire played in Early Modern Europe up to 1700.
- What was the Enlightenment and how did it influence Europe?
- How did imperialism develop during the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries?
- O Discuss the causes of World War I (1914–1918).
- What was the nature of Western opposition to Communism during the Cold War?

Papers will be graded on quality of argument and analysis (backed up by appropriate evidence), as well as clarity and correctness of writing. Students will lose marks for poor grammar, informal writing, and careless composition.

Students will be issued with a 'Formatting Checklist' that they must follow when preparing their research papers.

Final Exam (20%)

The final exam in this class will be a take-home exam consisting of several short-answer essay questions. The final exam will be discussed in detail towards the end of the semester.

Grading, Extensions, and Late Assignments

- You must complete the research paper prep assignment, the research paper, and the final exam in order to pass the course. If you do not complete one of these components, you will automatically receive an 'F' grade.
- Completed, printed papers should be handed to me <u>at the beginning of class</u> on the due date. Electronic submissions will not be accepted except by prior arrangement.
- Late papers will lose 5% for each calendar day that they are late.
- These penalties will be applied based on when I receive the paper. (For example, if a paper is placed into my mailbox or under my office door on a Friday, and I do not receive it until the following Monday, it will be calculated as if you submitted it on the Monday (-20%).
- All papers slipped under my office door or put in my mailbox after the class in which they were supposed to be handed it will be considered late.
- There will be no make-up exams, nor will I authorize extension of due date for the paper, unless approved by me and arranged in advance.
- In the event of a true problem or emergency, come to my office hour or send me an email. I may also be reached by telephone at 325-942-2202.
- O Student athletes must provide me with a signed letter and schedule from the athletics department if their games might interfere with their attendance at class or at quizzes, or with the completion of assignments. This must be handed to me at the beginning of the semester or as soon as the schedule is finalized. Failure to do so will be reflected in students' participation

grades.

Academic Integrity

<u>Plagiarism</u> is a form of academic misconduct that is defined as the theft of ideas or information from a source without giving proper credit. Plagiarism is a serious offense that could result in failure of the course, among other penalties. Submit only original work, complete with proper citations. <u>There is no excuse for plagiarism</u>. It is your responsibility to plan your semester and <u>time effectively to avoid putting yourself in a situation where you might turn to plagiarism</u>. A digital plagiarism detection program (such as Turnitin) may be used to check your work.

In addition, academic misconduct is not limited to plagiarism. It also includes forgery, cheating, and disruptive or disrespectful behavior. Although discussing your work with classmates may be helpful, you must also be aware of "unauthorized collaboration" as a form of academic misconduct.

Academic integrity is essential to the discipline of history. Historians are in a constant conversation with each other, building upon the work of others, while contributing their own original research. Precise and rigorous citation of documents and secondary sources is a necessity to provide a road-map for future historians. Citing sources will be discussed in class. If you are unsure about a citation, contact me with your question.

<u>Plagiarism cases will result in 0% on an assignment for the first offense and a failing grade in the entire class for all subsequent offenses, including those committed in other classes taken with the same professor.</u>

The ASU Student Handbook has additional information relating to the Honor Code. You can find a copy of the handbook online at the ASU website (under "Current Students" and "University Publications") or at the Student Life Office (located in the University Center). You may view the university's honor code at the following website: http://www.angelo.edu/forms/pdf/Honor Code.pdf

Special Accommodations

Students with disabilities which may warrant academic accommodations must contact the Student Life Office (Room 112 University Center; 325-942-2191 or Student.Life@angelo.edu) in order to request such accommodations prior to any accommodations being implemented. You are encouraged to make this request within the first week of the semester so that appropriate arrangements can be made. Unless you arrange these accommodations, I cannot make any special accommodations, including extensions of due dates, make-up assignments, or extended exam periods.

Religious Holy Days

Students who intend to be absent from class to observe a religious holy day (as defined in ASU OP 10.19) must tell me 48 hours prior to the absence and make up any scheduled assignments within an appropriate timeframe that I determine. While the absence will not be penalized, failure to complete the make-up assignment satisfactorily and within the required timeframe will

result in penalties consistent with other absences and assignments.

University Policies

For additional general university policies, consult the university student handbook. Here is a handbook link for you: http://www.angelo.edu/student-handbook/

Severe Weather or Other Emergencies

If class is cancelled because of severe weather or other emergencies, any test, quiz, or assignment due that day will move to the next day of class. However, always double-check and email me or check online to ensure that you do not miss any due dates or quizzes.

I reserve the right to make changes to the syllabus, course requirements, or policies at any point during this semester.

Course Schedule

Additional documents may be added to the schedule. Readings for each class must be completed before the class in question. This schedule is subject to change.

Quizzes & Exams

Assignments/ Papers Due

Holidays

Week 1	Topics & Assignments	Readings
Monday 1/16/2017	Martin Luther King Jr Day	No class
Wednesday 1/18/2017	Western Civilization from	No reading
	1500: An Introduction	
Friday 1/20/2017	A Geographical and	Maps will be handed out in
	Topographical Introduction to	class
	Modern Europe	
Week 2		
Monday 1/23/2017	Europe in 1500	TBA
Wednesday 1/25/2017	The Renaissance I	Backman, pp. 374-388
Friday 1/27/2017	The Renaissance II	Backman, pp. 388-392, 467-
		471
Week 3		
Monday 1/30/2017	The Reformation I	Backman, pp. 392-402
Wednesday 2/1/2017	The Reformation II	Backman, pp. 402-407, 474-
		479
Friday 2/3/2017	Quiz 1	
Week 4		
Monday 2/6/2017	Europe and the New World	Backman, pp. 416-426
Wednesday 2/8/2017	The Ottoman Empire	Backman, pp. 454-456, 459-
		461

Friday 2/10/2017	The Wars of Religion	Backman, pp. 441-454 Marlowe, <i>The Massacre at Paris</i> (12.3)
Week 5		
Monday 2/13/2017	The Scientific Revolution	Backman, pp. 465-473, 484-490, 498-499
Wednesday 2/15/2017	The Rise of Absolutism	Backman, pp. 503-521
Friday 2/17/2017	Mercantilism	Backman, pp. 521-525
Week 6		
Monday 2/20/2017	Slavery in Europe	TBA
Wednesday 2/22/2017	The English Civil War & Restoration	Backman, pp. 533-538
Friday 2/24/207	Quiz 2	
Week 7		
Monday 2/27/2017	Paper Pre Assignment Due	Backman, pp. 553-573
	TODAY	Beccaria, Of Crimes &
	The Enlightenment	Punishments (15.4)
Wednesday 3/1/2017	Imperialism I	TBA
Friday 3/3/2017	The French Revolution	Backman, pp. 591-601, 610-615
Week 8		
Monday 3/6/2017	Europe under Napoleon	Backman, pp. 601-610, 615-619
Wednesday 3/8/2017	The Industrial Revolution	Backman, pp. 625-639, 645-655
Friday 3/10/2017	Politics in the Nineteenth Century	Backman, pp. 665-691
Week 9	,	
Monday 3/13/2017	Spring Break	No class
Wednesday 3/15/2017	Spring Break	No class
Friday 3/17/2017	Spring Break	No class
Week 10		
Monday 3/20/2017	The Birth of Nationalism	Backman, pp. 705-729
Wednesday 3/22/2017	The Struggle for Suffrage	Backman, pp. 783-796
, and the second		Norton, English Laws for Women (21.2)
Friday 3/24/2017	Quiz 3	
Week 11		
Monday 3/27/2017	Imperialism II	Backman, pp. 815-819, 826-836
		Hobson, <i>Imperialism</i> (22.2)
Wednesday 3/29/2017	Study Day	No assigned reading
Friday 3/31/2017	Study Day	No assigned reading
Week 12		
Monday 4/3/2017	Europe in the Late Nineteenth Century	Backman, pp. 691-693, 819-826, 836-840

Wednesday 4/5/2017	First World War I	Backman, pp. 887-912, 921- 928*
Friday 4/7/2017	First World War II	Backman, pp. 887-912, 921-928* Graves, Goodbye to All That (24.4)
Week 13		
Monday 4/10/2017	The Russian Revolution	Backman, pp. 912-921
Wednesday 4/12/2017	The Interwar Years	Backman, pp. 931-955, 966- 974
		Spengler, <i>The Decline of Civilization</i> (25.3)
Friday 4/14/2017	Good Friday	No class
Week 14		
Monday 4/17/2017	Research Paper Due TODAY Totalitarianism	Backman, pp. 955-966 Hayek, Economic Control & Totalitarianism (25.4)
Wednesday 4/19/2017	Second World War I	Backman, pp. 977-1014*
Friday 4/21/2017	Quiz 4	
Week 15		
Monday 4/ 24/2017	Second World War II	Backman, pp. 977-1014* Gilbert, <i>Nuremberg Diary</i> (26.4)
Wednesday 4/26/2017	The Cold War	Backman, pp. 1017-1021, 1029-1044
		Novikov, On Post-War
		American Policy (27.2)
		Churchill, Iron Curtain
		<i>Speech</i> (27.3)
Friday 4/28/2017	Decolonization	Backman, pp. 1023-1029
Week 16		
Monday 5/1/2017	The European Project	Backman, pp. 1104-1109
Wednesday 5/3/2017	1989	Backman, pp. 1091-1104
Friday 5/5/2017	Final Review	
Week 17		
Monday 5/8/2017	<u>Final Exam – Take Home</u> Exam due by 12pm	

CIVIC ENGAGEMENT VALUE RUBRIC

for more information, please contact value@aacu.org



rubrics are intended for institutional-level use in evaluating and discussing student learning, not for grading. The core expectations articulated in all 15 of the VALUE rubrics can and should be translated into the language of individual campuses, disciplines, and even courses. The utility of the VALUE rubrics is to position learning at all undergraduate levels within a basic framework of expectations such that evidence of learning can by shared nationally through a common outcome and incorporated additional feedback from faculty. The rubrics articulate fundamental criteria for each learning outcome, with performance descriptors demonstrating progressively more sophisticated levels of attainment. The dialog and understanding of student success The VALUE rubrics were developed by teams of faculty experts representing colleges and universities across the United States through a process that examined many existing campus rubrics and related documents for each learning

efinition

actions wherein individuals participate in activities of personal and public concern that are both individually life enriching and socially beneficial to the community community, through both political and non-political processes." (Excerpted from Civic Responsibility and Higher Education, edited by Thomas Ehrlich, published by Oryx Press, 2000, Preface, page vi.) In addition, civic engagement encompasses Civic engagement is "working to make a difference in the civic life of our communities and developing the combination of knowledge, skills, values and motivation to make that difference. It means promoting the quality of life in a

Framing Language

service-learning classes, community-based research, or service within the community. Multiple types of work samples or collections of work may be utilized to assess this, such as: the civic learning outcomes more explicit. Civic engagement can take many forms, from individual volunteerism to organizational involvement to electoral participation. For students this could include community-based learning through Civic learning outcomes are framed by personal identity and commitments, disciplinary frameworks and traditions, pre-professional norms and practice, and the mission and values of colleges and universities. This rubric is designed to make Preparing graduates for their public lives as citizens, members of communities, and professionals in society has historically been a responsibility of higher education. Yet the outcome of a civic-minded graduate is a complex concept

- models processes that engage others in deliberative democracy, in having a voice, participating in democratic processes, and taking specific actions to affect an issue. The student creates and manages a service program that engages others (such as youth or members of a neighborhood) in learning about and taking action on an issue they care about. In the process, the student also teaches and
- action. As a result, other students, faculty, and community members are engaged to take action on an issue. The student researches, organizes, and carries out a deliberative democracy forum on a particular issue, one that includes multiple perspectives on that issue and how best to make positive change through various courses of public
- demonstrates multiple types of civic action and skills The student works on and takes a leadership role in a complex campaign to bring about tangible changes in the public's awareness or education on a particular issue, or even a change in public policy. Through this process, the student
- research paper, service program, or organization) that has engaged community constituents and responded to community needs and assets through the process. The student integrates their academic work with community engagement, producing a tangible product (piece of legislation or policy, a business, building or civic infrastructure, water quality or scientific assessment, needs survey,

collaborating in the process In addition, the nature of this work lends itself to opening up the review process to include community constituents that may be a part of the work, such as teanmates, colleagues, community/agency members, and those served or

Glossary

- Civic identity: When one sees her or himself as an active participant in society with a strong commitment and responsibility to work with others towards public purposes.
- appreciation of the discipline, and an enhanced sense of personal values and civic responsibility Service-learning class: A course-based educational experience in which students participate in an organized service activity and reflect on the experience in such a way as to gain further understanding of course content, a broader
- Communication skills: Listening, deliberation, negotiation, consensus building, and productive use of conflict.
- Civic life: The public life of the citizen concerned with the affairs of the community and nation as contrasted with private or personal life, which is devoted to the pursuit of private and personal interests
- people to accomplish goals they could not realize as individuals. Politics necessarily arises whenever groups of people live together, since they must always reach collective decisions of one kind or another. Politics: A process by which a group of people, whose opinions or interests might be divergent, reach collective decisions that are generally regarded as binding on the group and enforced as common policy. Political life enables
- conflicts." (Retrieved from the Center for Civic Engagement Web site, May 5, 2009.) Government: "The formal institutions of a society with the authority to make and implement binding decisions about such matters as the distribution of resources, allocation of benefits and burdens, and the management of
- or defined by shared identity (i.e., African-Americans, North Carolinians, Americans, the Republican or Democratic Party, refugees, etc.). In addition, contexts for civic engagement may be defined by a variety of approaches intended to benefit a person, group, or community, including community service or volunteer work, academic work Civic/ community contexts: Organizations, movements, campaigns, a place or locus where people and/ or living creatures inhabit, which may be defined by a locality (school, national park, non-profit organization, town, state, nation)

CIVIC ENGAGEMENT VALUE RUBRIC

for more information, please contact value@aacu.org



Definition

Civic engagement is "working to make a difference in the civic life of our communities and developing the combination of knowledge, skills, values, and motivation to make that difference. It means promoting the quality of life in a community, through both political and non-political processes." (Excerpted from Civic Responsibility and Higher Education, edited by Thomas Ehrlich, published by Oryx Press, 2000, Preface, page vi.) In addition, civic engagement encompasses actions wherein individuals participate in activities of personal and public concern that are both individually life enriching and socially beneficial to the community.

	Capstone 4	Miles 3	Milestones 2	Benchmark 1
Diversity of Communities and Cultures	Demonstrates evidence of adjustment in own attitudes and beliefs because of working within and learning from diversity of communities and cultures. Promotes others' engagement with diversity.	Reflects on how own attitudes and beliefs are different from those of other cultures and communities. Exhibits curiosity about what can be learned from diversity of communities and cultures.	Has awareness that own attitudes and beliefs are different from those of other cultures and communities. Exhibits little curiosity about what can be learned from diversity of communities and cultures.	Expresses attitudes and beliefs as an individual, from a one-sided view Is indifferent or resistant to what can be learned from diversity of communities and cultures.
Analysis of Knowledge	Connects and extends knowledge (facts, theories, etc.) from one's own academic study/ field/ discipline to civic engagement and to one's own participation in civic life, politics, and government.	Analyzes knowledge (facts, theories, etc.) from one's own academic study/ field/ discipline making relevant connections to civic engagement and to one's own participation in civic life, politics, and government.	Begins to connect knowledge (facts, theories, etc.) from one's own academic study/ field/ discipline to civic engagement and to tone's own participation in civic life, politics, and government.	Begins to identify knowledge (facts, theories, etc.) from one's own academic study/field/discipline that is relevant to civic engagement and to one's own participation in civic life, politics, and government.
Civic Identity and Commitment	Provides evidence of experience in civic- engagement activities and describes what she/he has learned about her or himself as it relates to a reinforced and clarified sense of civic identity and continued commitment to public action.	Provides evidence of experience in civic- engagement activities and describes what she/he has learned about her or himself as it relates to a growing sense of civic identity and commitment.	Evidence suggests involvement in civic- engagement activities is generated from expectations or course requirements rather than from a sense of civic identity.	Provides little evidence of her/his experience in civic-engagement activities and does not connect experiences to civic identity.
Civic Communication	Tailors communication strategies to effectively express, listen, and adapt to others to establish relationships to further civic action	Effectively communicates in civic context, showing ability to do all of the following: express, listen, and adapt ideas and messages based on others' perspectives.	Communicates in civic context, showing ability to do more than one of the following: express, listen, and adapt ideas and messages based on others' perspectives.	Communicates in civic context, showing ability to do one of the following: express, listen, and adapt ideas and messages based on others' perspectives.
Civic Action and Reflection	Demonstrates independent experience and <i>shows initiative in team leadership</i> of complex or multiple civic engagement activities, accompanied by reflective insights or analysis about the aims and accomplishments of one's actions.	Demonstrates independent experience and team leadership of civic action, with reflective insights or analysis about the aims and accomplishments of one's actions.	Has clearly <i>participated</i> in civically focused actions and begins to reflect or describe how these actions may benefit individual(s) or communities.	Has <i>experimented</i> with some civic activities but shows little internalized understanding of their aims or effects and little commitment to future action.
Civic Contexts/Structures	Demonstrates ability and commitment to collaboratively nork across and within community contexts and structures to achieve a civic aim.	Demonstrates ability and commitment to work actively <i>within</i> community contexts and structures <i>to achieve a civic aim.</i>	Demonstrates experience identifying intentional ways to <i>participate in</i> civic contexts and structures.	Experiments with civic contexts and structures, tries out a few to see what fits.

CRITICAL THINKING VALUE RUBRIC

for more information, please contact value@aacu.org



expectations articulated in all 15 of the VALUE rubrics can and should be translated into the language of individual campuses, disciplines, and even courses. The utility of the VALUE rubrics is to demonstrating progressively more sophisticated levels of attainment. The rubrics are intended for institutional-level use in evaluating and discussing student learning, not for grading. The core position learning at all undergraduate levels within a basic framework of expectations such that evidence of learning can by shared nationally through a common dialog and understanding of student and related documents for each learning outcome and incorporated additional feedback from faculty. The rubrics articulate fundamental criteria for each learning outcome, with performance descriptors The VALUE rubrics were developed by teams of faculty experts representing colleges and universities across the United States through a process that examined many existing campus rubrics

Definition

Critical thinking is a habit of mind characterized by the comprehensive exploration of issues, ideas, artifacts, and events before accepting or formulating an opinion or conclusion

Framing Language

suggests that successful critical thinkers from all disciplines increasingly need to be able to apply those habits in various and changing situations encountered in all walks of life. This rubric is designed to be transdisciplinary, reflecting the recognition that success in all disciplines requires habits of inquiry and analysis that share common attributes. Further, research

critical thinking (e.g., how information sources were evaluated regardless of whether they were included in the product) is important, assignments focused on student reflection might be especially that require students to complete analyses of text, data, or issues. Assignments that cut across presentation mode might be especially useful in some fields. If insight into the process components of illuminating. This rubric is designed for use with many different types of assignments and the suggestions here are not an exhaustive list of possibilities. Critical thinking can be demonstrated in assignments

lossary

- Ambiguity: Information that may be interpreted in more than one way.
- Assumptions: Ideas, conditions, or beliefs (often implicit or unstated) that are "taken for granted or accepted as true without proof." (quoted from www.dictionary.reference.com/browse/assumptions,
- Context: The historical, ethical. political, cultural, environmental, or circumstantial settings or conditions that influence and complicate the consideration of any issues, ideas, artifacts, and
- Literal meaning: Interpretation of information exactly as stated. For example, "she was green with envy" would be interpreted to mean that her skin was green
- Metaphor: Information that is (intended to be) interpreted in a non-literal way. For example, "she was green with envy" is intended to convey an intensity of emotion, not a skin color.

CRITICAL THINKING VALUE RUBRIC

for more information, please contact value@aacu.org



DefinitionCritical thinking is a habit of mind characterized by the comprehensive exploration of issues, ideas, artifacts, and events before accepting or formulating an opinion or conclusion.

E
valı
iato
rs a
re (
псог
ırag
ed t
o assi
sign
2 2
zero
to a
ny 1
work
k sa
du
le or
coll.
cti
o no
f we
ork
thai
de
S
not n
meet
ben
chma
ark
(cel
ll one
ie) le
vel j
perf
orm
anc
e

	Capstone 4	Miles	Milestones	Benchmark 1
Explanation of issues	Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated clearly and described comprehensively, delivering all relevant information necessary for full understanding	Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated, described, and clarified so that understanding is not seriously impeded by omissions.	Issue/ problem to be considered critically is stated but description leaves some terms undefined, ambiguities unexplored, boundaries undetermined, and/ or backgrounds unknown.	Issue/ problem to be considered cr stated without clarification or desc
Evidence Selecting and using information to investigate a point of view or conclusion	Information is taken from source(s) with enough interpretation/ evaluation to develop a comprehensive analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are questioned thoroughly.	Information is taken from source(s) with enough interpretation/evaluation to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are subject to questioning.	Information is taken from source(s) with some interpretation/ evaluation, but not enough to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are taken as mostly fact, with little questioning	Information is taken from source(s) without any interpretation/ evaluation. Viewpoints of experts are taken as fact, without question.
Influence of context and assumptions	Thoroughly (systematically and methodically) analyzes own and others' assumptions and carefully evaluates the relevance of contexts when presenting a position.	Identifies own and others' assumptions and several relevant contexts when presenting a position.	Questions some assumptions. Identifies several relevant contexts when presenting a position. May be more aware of others' assumptions than one's own (or vice versa).	Shows an emerging awareness of present assumptions (sometimes labels assertions as assumptions). Begins to identify some contexts when presenting a position.
Student's position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis)	Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) is imaginative, taking into account the complexities of an issue. Limits of position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) are acknowledged. Others' points of view are synthesized within position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis).	Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) takes into account the complexities of an issue. Others' points of view are acknowledged within position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis).	Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) acknowledges different sides of an issue.	Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) is stated, but is and obvious.
Conclusions and related outcomes (implications and consequences)	Conclusions and related outcomes (consequences and implications) are logical and reflect student's informed evaluation and ability to place evidence and perspectives discussed in priority order.	Conclusion is logically tied to a range of information, including opposing viewpoints; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.	Conclusion is logically tied to information (because information is chosen to fit the desired conclusion); some related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.	Conclusion is inconsistently tied to some of the information discussed; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are oversimplified.

INTERCULTURAL KNOWLEDGE AND COMPETENCE VALUE RUBRIC

for more information, please contact value@aacu.org



of the VALUE rubrics can and should be translated into the language of individual campuses, disciplines, and even courses. The utility of the VALUE rubrics is to position learning at all undergraduate levels progressively more sophisticated levels of attainment. The rubrics are intended for institutional-level use in evaluating and discussing student learning, not for grading. The core expectations articulated in all 15 documents for each learning outcome and incorporated additional feedback from faculty. The rubrics articulate fundamental criteria for each learning outcome, with performance descriptors demonstrating within a basic framework of expectations such that evidence of learning can by shared nationally through a common dialog and understanding of student success The VALUE rubrics were developed by teams of faculty experts representing colleges and universities across the United States through a process that examined many existing campus rubrics and related

Definition

M. A. Moodian, 95-110. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.) (Bennett, J. M. 2008. Transformative training: Designing programs for culture learning. In Contemporary leadership and intercultural competence: Understanding and utilizing cultural diversity to build successful organizations, ed Intercultural Knowledge and Competence is "a set of cognitive, affective, and behavioral skills and characteristics that support effective and appropriate interaction in a variety of cultural contexts."

Framing Language

them with others, and adapt empathically and flexibly to unfamiliar ways of being. culture at the core of transformative learning. The intercultural knowledge and competence rubric suggests a systematic way to measure our capacity to identify our own cultural patterns, compare and contrast with others. Beyond mere exposure to culturally different others, the campus community requires the capacity to: meaningfully engage those others, place social justice in historical and political context, and pu The call to integrate intercultural knowledge and competence into the heart of education is an imperative born of seeing ourselves as members of a world community, knowing that we share the future

rubric. This rubric identifies six of the key components of intercultural knowledge and competence, but there are other components as identified in the Deardorff model and in other research. internationalization. Journal of Studies in International Education 10(3): 241-266). It is also important to understand that intercultural knowledge and competence is more complex than what is reflected in this framework which is the first research-based consensus model of intercultural competence (Deardorff, D.K. 2006. The identification and assessment of intercultural competence as a student outcome of sensitity. In Education for the intercultural experience, ed. R. M. Paige, 22-71. Yarmouth, ME: Intercultural Press). In addition, the criteria in this rubric are informed in part by D.K. Deardorff's intercultural The levels of this rubric are informed in part by M. Bennett's Developmental Model of Intercultural Sensitivity (Bennett, M.J. 1993. Towards ethnorelativism: A developmental model of intercultural

Glossarv

- Culture: All knowledge and values shared by a group.
- Cultural rules and biases: Boundaries within which an individual operates in order to feel a sense of belonging to a society or group, based on the values shared by that society or group
- Empathy: "Empathy is the imaginary participation in another person's experience, including emotional and intellectual dimensions, by imagining his or her perspective (not by assuming the person's position)". Bennett, J. 1998. Transition shock: Putting culture shock in perspective. In Basic concepts of intercultural communication, ed. M. Bennett, 215-224. Yarmouth, ME: Intercultural Press
- Intercultural experience: The experience of an interaction with an individual or groups of people whose culture is different from your own
- Intercultural / cultural differences: The differences in rules, behaviors, communication and biases, based on cultural values that are different from one's own culture
- Suspends judgment in valuing their interactions with culturally different others: Postpones assessment or evaluation (positive or negative) of interactions with people culturally different from one self Disconnecting from the process of automatic judgment and taking time to reflect on possibly multiple meanings
- Worldview: Worldview is the cognitive and affective lens through which people construe their experiences and make sense of the world around them

INTERCULTURAL KNOWLEDGE AND COMPETENCE VALUE RUBRIC

for more information, please contact value@aacu.org



Definition

Intercultural Knowledge and Competence is "a set of cognitive, affective, and behavioral skills and characteristics that support effective and appropriate interaction in a variety of cultural contexts." (Bennett, J. M. 2008. Transformative training: Designing programs for culture learning. In Contemporary leadership and intercultural competence: Understanding and utilizing cultural diversity to build successful organizations, ed. M. A. Moodian, 95-110. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.)

	Capstone 4	Mile 3	Milestones 2	Benchmark 1
Knowledge Cultural self- awareness	Articulates insights into own cultural rules and biases (e.g. seeking complexity; aware of how her/his experiences have shaped these rules, and how to recognize and respond to cultural biases, resulting in a shift in self-description.)	Recognizes new perspectives about own cultural rules and biases (e.g. not looking for sameness; confortable with the complexities that new perspectives offer.)	Identifies own cultural rules and biases (e.g. with a strong preference for those rules shared with own cultural group and seeks the same in others.)	Shows minimal awareness of own cultural rules and biases (even those shared with own cultural group(s)) (e.g. uncomfortable with identifying possible cultural differences with others.)
Knowledge Knowledge of cultural worldview frameworks	Demonstrates sophisticated understanding of the complexity of elements important to members of another culture in relation to its history, values, politics, communication styles, economy, or beliefs and practices.	Demonstrates adequate understanding of the complexity of elements important to members of another culture in relation to its history, values, politics, communication styles, economy, or beliefs and practices.	Demonstrates partial understanding of the complexity of elements important to members of another culture in relation to its history, values, politics, communication styles, economy, or beliefs and practices.	Demonstrates surface understanding of the complexity of elements important to members of another culture in relation to its history, values, politics, communication styles, economy, or beliefs and practices.
Skills Empathy	Interprets intercultural experience from the perspectives of own and more than one worldview and demonstrates ability to act in a supportive manner that recognizes the feelings of another cultural group.	Recognizes intellectual and emotional dimensions of more than one worldview and sometimes uses more than one worldview in interactions.	Identifies components of other cultural perspectives but responds in all situations with own worldview.	Views the experience of others but does so through own cultural worldview.
Skills Verbal and nonverbal communication	Articulates a complex understanding of cultural differences in verbal and nonverbal communication (e.g., demonstrates understanding of the degree to which people use physical contact while communicating in different cultures or use direct/indirect and explicit/implicit meanings) and is able to skillfully negotiate a shared understanding based on those differences.	Recognizes and participates in cultural differences in verbal and nonverbal communication and begins to negotiate a shared understanding based on those differences.	Identifies some cultural differences in verbal and nonverbal communication and is aware that misunderstandings can occur based on those differences but is still unable to negotiate a shared understanding	Has a minimal level of understanding of cultural differences in verbal and nonverbal communication; is unable to negotiate a shared understanding
Attitudes Curiosity	Asks complex questions about other cultures, seeks out and articulates answers to these questions that reflect multiple cultural perspectives.	Asks deeper questions about other cultures and seeks out answers to these questions.	Asks simple or surface questions about other cultures.	States minimal interest in learning more about other cultures.
Attitudes Openness	Initiates and develops interactions with culturally different others. Suspends judgment in valuing her/his interactions with culturally different others.	Begins to initiate and develop interactions with culturally different others. Begins to suspend judgment in valuing her/his interactions with culturally different others.	Expresses openness to most, if not all, interactions with culturally different others. Has difficulty suspending any judgment in her/his interactions with culturally different others, and is aware of own judgment and expresses a willingness to change.	Receptive to interacting with culturally different others. Has difficulty suspending any judgment in her/his interactions with culturally different others, but is unaware of own judgment.

ORAL COMMUNICATION VALUE RUBRIC

for more information, please contact value@aacu.org



expectations articulated in all 15 of the VALUE rubrics can and should be translated into the language of individual campuses, disciplines, and even courses. The utility of the VALUE rubrics is to demonstrating progressively more sophisticated levels of attainment. The rubrics are intended for institutional-level use in evaluating and discussing student learning, not for grading. The core and related documents for each learning outcome and incorporated additional feedback from faculty. The rubrics articulate fundamental criteria for each learning outcome, with performance descriptors position learning at all undergraduate levels within a basic framework of expectations such that evidence of learning can by shared nationally through a common dialog and understanding of student The VALUE rubrics were developed by teams of faculty experts representing colleges and universities across the United States through a process that examined many existing campus rubrics

The type of oral communication most likely to be included in a collection of student work is an oral presentation and therefore is the focus for the application of this rubric

Definition

Oral communication is a prepared, purposeful presentation designed to increase knowledge, to foster understanding, or to promote change in the listeners' attitudes, values, beliefs, or behaviors

Framing Language

conveyed, supported by one or more forms of supporting materials and includes a purposeful organization. An oral answer to a single question not designed to be structured into a presentation does For panel presentations or group presentations, it is recommended that each speaker be evaluated separately. This rubric best applies to presentations of sufficient length such that a central message is not readily apply to this rubric. Oral communication takes many forms. This rubric is specifically designed to evaluate oral presentations of a single speaker at a time and is best applied to live or video-recorded presentations

Jossary

- Central message: The main point/thesis/"bottom line"/"take-away" of a presentation. A clear central message is easy to identify; a compelling central message is also vivid and memorable.
- Delivery techniques: Posture, gestures, eye contact, and use of the voice. Delivery techniques enhance the effectiveness of the presentation when the speaker stands and moves with authority, looks more often at the audience than at his/her speaking materials/notes, uses the voice expressively, and uses few vocal fillers ("um," "uh," "like," "you know," etc.)
- Language: Vocabulary, terminology, and sentence structure. Language that supports the effectiveness of a presentation is appropriate to the topic and audience, grammatical, clear, and free from bias. Language that enhances the effectiveness of a presentation is also vivid, imaginative, and expressive
- Organization: The grouping and sequencing of ideas and supporting material in a presentation. An organizational pattern that supports the effectiveness of a presentation typically includes an more likely to accomplish its purpose. choice among possible alternatives, such as a chronological pattern, a problem-solution pattern, an analysis-of-parts pattern, etc., that makes the content of the presentation easier to follow and introduction, one or more identifiable sections in the body of the speech, and a conclusion. An organizational pattern that enhances the effectiveness of the presentation reflects a purposeful
- Supporting material: Explanations, examples, illustrations, statistics, analogies, quotations from relevant authorities, and other kinds of information or analysis that supports the principal ideas example, in presenting a creative work such as a dramatic reading of Shakespeare, supporting evidence may not advance the ideas of Shakespeare, but rather serve to establish the speaker as a of the presentation. Supporting material is generally credible when it is relevant and derived from reliable and appropriate sources. Supporting material is highly credible when it is also vivid and credible Shakespearean actor varied across the types listed above (e.g., a mix of examples, statistics, and references to authorities). Supporting material may also serve the purpose of establishing the speakers credibility. For

ORAL COMMUNICATION VALUE RUBRIC

for more information, please contact value@aacu.org



DefinitionOral communication is a prepared, purposeful presentation designed to increase knowledge, to foster understanding, or to promote change in the listeners' attitudes, values, beliefs, or behaviors.

Organization	Capstone 4 Organizational pattern (specific	3 pattern (specific	Milestones 2 Organizational pattern (specific	Benchmark 1 Organizational pattern (specific
Organization	Organizational pattern (specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions) is clearly and consistently observable and is skillful and makes the content of the presentation cohesive.	Organizational pattern (specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions) is clearly and consistently observable within the presentation.	Organizational pattern (specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions) is intermittently observable within the presentation.	Organizational pattern (specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions is not observable within the presentation
Language	Language choices are imaginative, memorable, and compelling, and enhance the effectiveness of the presentation. Language in presentation is appropriate to audience.	Language choices are thoughtful and generally support the effectiveness of the presentation. Language in presentation is appropriate to audience.	Language choices are mundane and commonplace and partially support the effectiveness of the presentation. Language in presentation is appropriate to audience.	Language choices are unclear and minimally support the effectiveness of the presentation. Language in presentation is not appropriate to audience.
Delivery	Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) make the presentation compelling, and speaker appears polished and confident.	Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) make the presentation interesting, and speaker appears comfortable.	Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) make the presentation understandable, and speaker appears tentative.	Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) detract from the understandability of the presentation, and speaker appears unconfortable.
Supporting Material	A variety of types of supporting materials (explanations, examples, illustrations, statistics, analogies, quotations from relevant authorities) make appropriate reference to information or analysis that significantly supports the presentation or establishes the presenter's credibility/authority on the topic.	Supporting materials (explanations, examples, illustrations, statistics, analogies, quotations from relevant authorities) make appropriate reference to information or analysis that generally supports the presentation or establishes the presenter's credibility/ authority on the topic.	Supporting materials (explanations, examples, illustrations, statistics, analogies, quotations from relevant authorities) make appropriate reference to information or analysis that partially supports the presentation or establishes the presenter's credibility/authority on the topic.	Insufficient supporting materials (explanations, examples, illustrations, statistics, analogies, quotations from relevant authorities) make reference to information or analysis that minimally supports the presentation or establishes the presenter's credibility/ authority on the topic.
Central Message	Central message is compelling (precisely stated, appropriately repeated, memorable, and strongly supported.)	Central message is clear and consistent with the supporting material.	Central message is basically understandable but is not often repeated and is not memorable.	Central message can be deduced, but is not explicitly stated in the presentation

WRITTEN COMMUNICATION VALUE RUBRIC

for more information, please contact value@aacu.org



campuses, disciplines, and even courses. The utility of the VALUE rubrics is to position learning at all undergraduate levels within a basic framework of expectations such that evidence of learning can by shared nationally through a common rubrics are intended for institutional-level use in evaluating and discussing student learning, not for grading. The core expectations articulated in all 15 of the VALUE rubrics can and should be translated into the language of individual outcome and incorporated additional feedback from faculty. The rubrics articulate fundamental criteria for each learning outcome, with performance descriptors demonstrating progressively more sophisticated levels of attainment. The dialog and understanding of student success The VALUE rubrics were developed by teams of faculty experts representing colleges and universities across the United States through a process that examined many existing campus rubrics and related documents for each learning

efunition

texts, data, and images. Written communication abilities develop through iterative experiences across the curriculum Written communication is the development and expression of ideas in writing. Written communication involves learning to work in many genres and styles. It can involve working with many different writing technologies, and mixing

Framing Language

sensitive to local context and mission. Users of this rubric should, in the end, consider making adaptations and additions that clearly link the language of the rubric to individual campus contexts This writing rubric is designed for use in a wide variety of educational institutions. The most clear finding to emerge from decades of research on writing assessment is that the best writing assessments are locally determined and

writer's growing engagement with writing and disciplinarity through the process of writing work?" In focusing on this question the rubric does not attend to other aspects of writing that are equally important: issues of writing process, writing strategies, writers' fluency with different modes of textual production or publication, or This rubric focuses assessment on how specific written work samples or collectios of work respond to specific contexts. The central question guiding the rubric is "How well does writing respond to the needs of audience(s) for the

and surface conventions, and citational systems used in the writing? This will enable evaluators to have a clear sense of how writers understand the assignments and take it into consideration as they evaluate What decisions did the writer make about audience, purpose, and genre as s/he compiled the work in the portfolio? How are those choices evident in the writing — in the content, organization and structure, reasoning, evidence, mechanical Evaluators using this rubric must have information about the assignments or purposes for writing guiding writers' work. Also recommended is including reflective work samples of collections of work that address such questions as

associated with work samples. But writers may also convey the context and purpose for their writing within the texts. It is important for faculty and institutions to include directions for students about how they should represent their writing within the texts. contexts and purposes The first section of this rubric addresses the context and purpose for writing. A work sample or collections of work can convey the context and purpose for the writing tasks it showcases by including the writing assignments

(2008; www.wpacouncil.org/whitepaper) and the Conference on College Composition and Communication's Writing Assessment: A Position Statement (2008; www.ncte.org/cccc/resources/positions/123784.htm) Faculty interested in the research on writing assessment that has guided our work here can consult the National Council of Teachers of English/Council of Writing Program Administrators' White Paper on Writing Assessment

lossary

- Content Development: The ways in which the text explores and represents its topic in relation to its audience and purpose
- to work through complexity or confusion; they might want to argue with other writers, or connect with other writers; they might want to convey urgency or amuse; they might write for themselves or for an assignment or to remember. might affect how the text is composed or interpreted? The purpose for writing is the writer's intended effect on an audience. Writers might want to persuade or inform; they might want to report or summarize information; they might want to Context of and purpose for writing: The context of writing is the situation surrounding a text: who is writing it? Under what circumstances will the text be shared or circulated? What social or political factors
- own ideas and the ideas of others, credit and build upon work already accomplished in the field or issue they are addressing, and provide meaningful examples to readers topic. Writers will incorporate sources according to disciplinary and genre conventions, according to the writer's purpose for the text. Through increasingly sophisticated use of sources, writers develop an ability to differentiate between their thesis or hypothesis, expectations for kinds of evidence and support that are appropriate to the task at hand, use of primary and secondary sources to provide evidence and support arguments and to document critical perspectives on the Disciplinary conventions: Formal and informal rules that constitute what is seen generally as appropriate within different academic fields, e.g. introductory strategies, use of passive voice or first person point of view, expectations for
- Evidence: Source material that is used to extend, in purposeful ways, writers' ideas in a text
- Genre conventions: Formal and informal rules for particular kinds of texts and/or media that guide formatting, organization, and stylistic choices, e.g. lab reports, academic papers, poetry, webpages, or personal essays
- Sources: Texts (written, oral, behavioral, visual, or other) that writers draw on as they work for a variety of purposes to extend, argue with, develop, define, or shape their ideas, for example

WRITTEN COMMUNICATION VALUE RUBRIC

for more information, please contact value@aacu.org



Definition

technologies, and mixing texts, data, and images. Written communication abilities develop through iterative experiences across the curriculum. Written communication is the development and expression of ideas in writing. Written communication involves learning to work in many genres and styles. It can involve working with many different writing

Control of Syntax and Mechanics	Sources and Evidence	Genre and Disciplinary Conventions Formal and informal rules inherent in the expectations for writing in particular forms and/or academic fields (please see glossary).	Content Development	Context of and Purpose for Writing Includes considerations of audience, purpose, and the circumstances surrounding the writing task(s).	
Uses graceful language that skillfully communicates meaning to readers with clarity and fluency, and is virtually error-free.	Demonstrates skillful use of high- quality, credible, relevant sources to develop ideas that are appropriate for the discipline and genre of the writing	Demonstrates detailed attention to and successful execution of a wide range of conventions particular to a specific discipline and/or writing task (s) including organization, content, presentation, formatting, and stylistic choices	Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to illustrate mastery of the subject, conveying the writer's understanding, and shaping the whole work.	Demonstrates a thorough understanding of context, audience, and purpose that is responsive to the assigned task(s) and focuses all elements of the work.	Capstone 4
Uses straightforward language that generally conveys meaning to readers. The language in the portfolio has few errors.	Demonstrates consistent use of credible, relevant sources to support ideas that are situated within the discipline and genre of the writing.	Demonstrates consistent use of important conventions particular to a specific discipline and/or writing task(s), including organization, content, presentation, and stylistic choices	Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to explore ideas within the context of the discipline and shape the whole work.	Demonstrates adequate consideration of context, audience, and purpose and a clear focus on the assigned task(s) (e.g., the task aligns with audience, purpose, and context).	Mile 3
Uses language that generally conveys meaning to readers with clarity, although writing may include some errors. Uses language that sometimes impermeaning because of errors in usage.	Demonstrates an attempt to use credible and/or relevant sources to support ideas that are appropriate for the discipline and genre of the writing.	Follows expectations appropriate to a specific discipline and/or writing task(s) for basic organization, content, and presentation	Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop and explore ideas through most of the work.	Demonstrates awareness of context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., begins to show awareness of audience's perceptions and assumptions).	Milestones 2
Uses language that sometimes impedes meaning because of errors in usage.	Demonstrates an attempt to use sources to support ideas in the writing.	Attempts to use a consistent system for basic organization and presentation.	Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop simple ideas in some parts of the work.	Demonstrates minimal attention to context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., expectation of instructor or self as audience).	Benchmark 1

ETHICAL REASONING VALUE RUBRIC

for more information, please contact value@aacu.org



expectations articulated in all 15 of the VALUE rubrics can and should be translated into the language of individual campuses, disciplines, and even courses. The utility of the VALUE rubrics is to demonstrating progressively more sophisticated levels of attainment. The rubrics are intended for institutional-level use in evaluating and discussing student learning, not for grading. The core position learning at all undergraduate levels within a basic framework of expectations such that evidence of learning can by shared nationally through a common dialog and understanding of student and related documents for each learning outcome and incorporated additional feedback from faculty. The rubrics articulate fundamental criteria for each learning outcome, with performance descriptors The VALUE rubrics were developed by teams of faculty experts representing colleges and universities across the United States through a process that examined many existing campus rubrics

Definition

evolves as they practice ethical decision-making skills and learn how to describe and analyze positions on ethical issues. issues in a variety of settings, think about how different ethical perspectives might be applied to ethical dilemmas and consider the ramifications of alternative actions. Students' ethical self identity Ethical Reasoning is reasoning about right and wrong human conduct. It requires students to be able to assess their own ethical values and the social context of problems, recognize ethical

Framing Language

situations. What can be evaluated using a rubric is whether students have the intellectual tools to make ethical choices. students turn what they've learned in the classroom into action, pragmatically it would be difficult, if not impossible, to judge whether or not students would act ethically when faced with real ethical This rubric is intended to help faculty evaluate work samples and collections of work that demonstrate student learning about ethics. Although the goal of a liberal education should be to help

issues. Presumably, they will choose ethical actions when faced with ethical issues. Evaluation of Different Ethical Perspectives/Concepts. Students' Ethical Self Identity evolves as they practice ethical decision-making skills and learn how to describe and analyze positions on ethical The rubric focuses on five elements: Ethical Self Awareness, Ethical Issue Recognition, Understanding Different Ethical Perspectives/ Concepts, Application of Ethical Principles, and

lossarv

- responses. Core beliefs can reflect one's environment, religion, culture or training. A person may not choose to act on their core beliefs. Core Beliefs: Those fundamental principles that consciously or unconsciously influence one's ethical conduct and ethical thinking. Even when unacknowledged, core beliefs shape one's
- rights, justice, duty). Ethical Perspectives/ concepts: The different theoretical means through which ethical issues are analyzed, such as ethical theories (e.g., utilitarian, natural law, virtue) or ethical concepts (e.g.
- Complex, multi-layered (gray) context: The sub-parts or situational conditions of a scenario that bring two or more ethical dilemmas (issues) into the mix/problem/context/ for student's
- of corn as part of climate change issue). Cross-relationships among the issues: Obvious or subtle connections between/among the sub-parts or situational conditions of the issues present in a scenario (e.g., relationship of production

ETHICAL REASONING VALUE RUBRIC

for more information, please contact value@aacu.org



Definition

analyze positions on ethical issues. Ethical Reasoning is reasoning about right and wrong human conduct. It requires students to be able to assess their own ethical values and the social context of problems, recognize ethical issues in a variety of settings, think about how different ethical perspectives might be applied to ethical dilemmas, and consider the ramifications of alternative actions. Students' ethical self-identity evolves as they practice ethical decision-making skills and learn how to describe and

	Capstone	Miles	Milestones	Benchmark
	4	S	2	,_
Ethical Self-Awareness	Student discusses in detail/analyzes both core beliefs and the origins of the core beliefs and discussion has greater depth and clarity.	Student discusses in detail / analyzes both core beliefs and the origins of the core beliefs.	Student states both core beliefs and the origins of the core beliefs.	Student states either their core beliefs or articulates the origins of the core beliefs but not both.
Understanding Different Ethical Perspectives/Concepts	Student names the theory or theories, can present the gist of said theory or theories, and accurately explains the details of the theory or theories used.	Student can name the major theory or theories student can name the major theory she/he she/he uses, can present the gist of said theory or theories, and attempts to explain the details of the theory or theories used, but has some inaccuracies.		Student only names the major theory she/ he uses.
Ethical Issue Recognition	Student can recognize ethical issues when presented in a complex, multilayered (gray) context AND can recognize cross-relationships among the issues.	Student can recognize ethical issues when issues are presented in a complex, multilayered (gray) context OR can grasp crossrelationships among the issues.	Student can recognize basic and obvious ethical issues and grasp (incompletely) the complexities or interrelationships among the issues.	Student can recognize basic and obvious ethical issues but fails to grasp complexity or interrelationships.
Application of Ethical Perspectives/Concepts	Student can independently apply ethical perspectives/ concepts to an ethical question, accurately, and is able to consider full implications of the application.	Student can independently (to a new example) apply ethical perspectives/ concepts to an ethical question, accurately, but does not consider the specific implications of the application.	Student can apply ethical perspectives/ concepts to an ethical question, independently (to a new example) and the application is inaccurate.	Student can apply ethical perspectives/concepts to anethical question with support (using examples, in a class, in a group, or a fixed-choice setting) but is unable to apply ethical perspectives/concepts independently (to a new example.).
Evaluation of Different Ethical Perspectives/Concepts	Student states a position and can state the objections to, assumptions and implications of and can reasonably defend against the objections to, assumptions and implications of different ethical perspectives/ concepts, and the student's defense is adequate and effective.	Student states a position and can state the objections to, assumptions and implications of, and respond to the objections to, assumptions and implications of different ethical perspectives/ concepts, but the student's response is inadequate.	Student states a position and can state the objections to, assumptions and implications of different ethical perspectives/ concepts but does not respond to them (and ultimately objections, assumptions, and implications are compartmentalized by student and do not affect student's position.)	Student states a position but cannot state the objections to and assumptions and limitations of the different perspectives/ concepts.